



menu

News

- Latest
- Archive

Features

- Articles
- Columns
- Guides
- Interviews
- Reports
- UKT Art
- CSBinder
- Clan Database
- Clan Rankings

Events

- UKT LAN

Site

- Feedback
- Jobs
- About
- Staff
- Forums
- IRC
- UKT Lite

Search UKT:

user

Username:

Password:

Login

Register here

shoutbox

Nukz
[Link] rofl @ ady
00:58 3/7/2008

HooV
Burger
11:29 1/7/2008

Ashwee
i played some AoC its
fairly great
17:11 27/6/2008

Coopsy
sob sob the good ol
days of UKT :(
17:39 25/6/2008

BlondEh
anyone tried Age of
Conan?
13:35 19/6/2008

Shout

[expand]

UKT Rankings Updated

Posted by arsen at 16:00 20/7/2003.

UKTerrorist's resident "ranker", zeNi, has been hard at work updating our UK Clan Rankings. He has added the following tournaments:

- UKT #4 - Northampton
- ESWC
- CSGN Season 1

As you know, the rankings work on a points system. The higher a clan finish in an event, the more points they get. Points given depend on the type of event (international, UK LAN etc.) and how long ago it took place.

With 4K picking up 26 points for coming 5th at the recent E-Sports World Cup, they are firmly in the driving seat and are set to gain even more points after the final of the ClanBase Eurocup. LastChance are closing in on clan ONE's third spot after their 3rd place at UKT4 and will go ahead once all the current BWCSL games have been played and the rankings updated accordingly. The changes also see a new entry in the form of MonkeyMagic, whose win at UKT4 gives them a good start at 11th.

The following clans have folded so have been removed: SO19, Weakest Links and dNC. Xtra.uk have been renamed to imminent and the old iM have been removed. You may also notice the absence of i16, this is because we felt the entrants were mostly merc teams. Check out the whole table here.

Comments

Page: 1 2

Antmoo
16:02 20/7/2003

weee #;0

lookin good

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]



cobbeh fluid element 16:04 20/7/2003	really good idea this ranking system, pld zen [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
cobbeh fluid element 16:05 20/7/2003	shud have the logos for the clans like gotfrag :P [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Velta Professional Gamer 16:12 20/7/2003	Nice work zeNi. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
ZaG Online Multiplayer Gaming 16:31 20/7/2003	nothing for Savage League ? :< [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Choke MaT Choke 16:33 20/7/2003	csgn season 1 hasnt finsihed... the Champions Cup decides the winner which starts in 8 days. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
arsen Head Arse UKTerrorist 16:38 20/7/2003	Cup will be added as a seperate competition (I think). [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Choke MaT Choke 16:41 20/7/2003	and zag's right savage should be included - you've given it enough publicity every week witht he results. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
fez 16:43 20/7/2003	top bombing! [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Scam 16:51 20/7/2003	what MaT said ;o) [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Scam 16:52 20/7/2003	err, the first time :P [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
KD 16:52 20/7/2003	Explain to me why you get more points for winning the qualifier for wcg than for finishing 5th in the actual competition ? Surely they realise the different levels of competition at the events ? I think they need to actually take into account the level of difficulty of the competition rather than just the literal placings. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
DArtagnan Infinity-eSports 16:54 20/7/2003	If you want a good ranking system, just use cyberleagues.org. That takes into account every proper official match played, and is as accurate as you can get. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Fox ClanNEW 16:56 20/7/2003	thats all french [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Lyo` eSports.Edge 17:00 20/7/2003	do u want ED to be included as well MaT so you can get more than 3 pts [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Anisty- Prozac 17:01 20/7/2003	xtra.uk didnt rename to imminent.

			the squad that was xtra.uk left for imminent. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		w00kie euthanasia 17:02 20/7/2003	antmoo is now in LC, LC should get anthr 100000points :D [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		Messiah Game2XS.Monkey Magic 17:03 20/7/2003	he didnt say they renamed. he said thay have been renamed on the list. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		arsen Head Arse UKTerrorist 17:06 20/7/2003	What messiah said. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		Atemi ForsakeN 17:10 20/7/2003	yes Savage league should be included, i dunno bout including the CSGN championship thing cos would u include both the championship thing and the division placements or just one and not the other? also clan logos on the table is a good idea like gotfrag [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		raizbert 17:11 20/7/2003	i dont see how winning UK4 and winning a \$6000 purse at ESWC can give the same points [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		arsen Head Arse UKTerrorist 17:12 20/7/2003	I think zeNi may have just missed Savage out, will most likely be included in the next update. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		danneh 17:13 20/7/2003	cyberleagues site is pretty decent and seems to be kept relatively upto date [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		Evd 17:23 20/7/2003	you need to add PS2002 btw, seems to be missing [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		arsen Head Arse UKTerrorist 17:27 20/7/2003	Nah, it was too long ago to be included. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		MagiciaN^ Jay Bee Eye! 17:38 20/7/2003	i think zeni is a muppet everyone ALWAYS whines about rankings ... why do it to urself :x [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
		alpha Da boss Inspired Gaming 17:38 20/7/2003	Cyberleagues looks like a pretty good system but we don't have time to log every match. Ours is a reasonably decent UK-only ranking. KD: there's always going to be a bias towards UK-only competitions in these rankings. It might seem like 4k don't get as many points for their placing as they should but don't forget they were the only UK clan	

there, so it's points that no other clan is getting. Plus if you think about it the total points they got for that event are the points from the qualifiers plus the actual event.

This system is tweakable, so if you don't think the rankings reflect the current standings of the scene then say.

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Atemi

ForsakeN
17:42 20/7/2003

wot i was gonna say alpha, was scared at getting screamed at. Teams that win qualifiers end up getting a lot more points than the others cos they then get even more for the actual event which consists of no other uk teams, as they were the ones who won the qualifier, so to give them more points than they are getting now for things like cpl and eswc, would just make the table innacurate imo and would just be 4k at the top with everyone else about 1000 points behind

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

fsk

twisted Reality
17:50 20/7/2003

i think the rankings r fine!

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

KD

18:04 20/7/2003

So why do you include the events with no other uk teams at all ?

Just because a clan doesnt compete in UK competitions doesnt make them worse than the UK clans. You could call these rankings success in UK competitions but it is in no way accurate to suggest that these are reflective of the relative skills of the clans.

A clan that competed in lots of low skill events in the UK therefore would have more points than a team that concentrated mainly on international events.

For example the qualifiers for the WCG were held all around the world and therefore the best teams of all the countries involved will be there. I dont think that you can possibly argue that the level of competition at the WCG was lower than at the UK qualifier.

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

alpha

Da boss
Inspired Gaming
18:17 20/7/2003

So why do you include
the events with no
other uk teams at all ?

Because clans such as 4k quite
often go to international events
in place of UK events, so if we
only included UK events the
rankings wouldn't reflect the real
life standings.

A clan that competed
in lots of low skill
events in the UK
therefore would have
more points than a
team that
concentrated mainly
on international
events.

No, because international points
(plus qualifiers) give more points
than UK-only events. That's why
4k are at the top of the rankings
at the moment.

I dont think that you
can possibly argue
that the level of
competition at the
WCG was lower than
at the UK qualifier.

When have I suggested that? A
clan gets more points for coming
4th at WCG than they do for
coming 4th at the qualifiers.

This is a moot discussion unless
you feel the current rankings
aren't reflective of the current
UK scene.

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

KD

18:23 20/7/2003

It just seems your making up
the points to keep the clans in
there relative spots why bother
having a points system at all ?

"When have I suggested that? A
clan gets more points for coming
4th at WCG than they do for
coming 4th at the qualifiers."

World Cyber Games 2002 18
World Cyber Games Qualifier 25

Right there. Anyone can see that
coming first in the qualifier is
nowhere near the achievement
that coming 5th in the actual

		event is. Especially considering the competition at the events. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Messiah Game2XS.Monkey Magic 18:27 20/7/2003	Hes got a point! [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 18:28 20/7/2003	Its true the points system doesnt directly state that the level of competition is the same, or worse, at the different events (ignore my comment :P) but it still isnt close to being realistic. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Ripper Rush! 18:32 20/7/2003	[6:31pm] tX^m0rf`off: how come ranking have not been given for i16? [6:32pm] Ripper^: because i16 was full of merc teams [6:32pm] Ripper^: /crap teams [6:32pm] tX^m0rf`off: so thats fair to us that went and did well [6:32pm] Ripper^: yes [6:32pm] Ripper^: very fair [6:32pm] Ripper^: :0 [6:33pm] tX^m0rf`off: we dont [6:33pm] Ripper^: only winners get points for i events anyway [6:33pm] tX^m0rf`off: if we had new we would have got f*** all ranking from it [6:33pm] tX^m0rf`off: we would not have spend £60 each to go [6:33pm] Ripper^: LOL you spent 60 quid to get into the rankings? [6:33pm] tX^m0rf`off: yeah [6:34pm] Ripper^: should have won the tournament then bucky [6:34pm] tX^m0rf`off: why ele would we want to go to a craply run lan [6:34pm] Ripper^: like i said only winners get points for i events [6:34pm] Ripper^: so even if i16 did count [6:34pm] Ripper^: you would have got nothing [6:35pm] Ripper^: i could have to,d you that before you went if you asked [6:35pm] Ripper^: would have said you 60 quid :-EEE [6:35pm] (?) tX^m0rf`off (~d0t@M0rf.users.quakenet.org) leaves #ukterrorist (#ukterrorist) [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	deebo Mel Gibson UKTerrorist 18:36 20/7/2003	whoever claimed this was a fool proof system or an ACCURATE way to judge and rate UK clans?	

		No one?	
		So who cares? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 18:39 20/7/2003	rofl so why bother ? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Magician^ Jay Bee Eye! 18:43 20/7/2003	World Cyber Games 2002 18 World Cyber Games Qualifier 25 to play in wcg2002 they got the 25 points to qualify so basically u can say that the 5th place earned them 38 points which seems ok to me [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 18:47 20/7/2003	According to alphas logic if a UK team that theyd humped at the qualifier showed up at WCG then theyd get more points for placing exactly the same place just because there was UK competition there... Maybe if it was 18 points for the qualifier and 25 for the WCG it would be more realistic, its all about the relative level of the competition not just the fact that they got more points because they managed to qualify. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 18:48 20/7/2003	But as deebo said they apparently arent trying to make them realistic or accurate at all its just for s***s and giggles or something :) [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	deebo Mel Gibson UKTerrorist 18:52 20/7/2003	nah mate its a rough yardstick, not a proven scientific measure. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	alpha Da boss Inspired Gaming 18:53 20/7/2003	why bother having a points system at all ? To show how the rankings are calculated, and to show how far clans are from the clan above and below them. Anyone can see that coming first in the qualifier is nowhere near the achievement that coming 5th in the actual event is. Especially considering the competition at the events. As I said, these are UK rankings so there's a bias towards UK	

		competitions (i.e. where other UK clans are involved). If this was an international rankings system a lot more points would be awarded for the international competitions. Don't look at it in terms of individual events, think of the qualifiers as part of the main event. So qualifiers - 25, WCG 2002 (overall) 43. 4k already have the point advantage over the other UK clans for winning the qualifier. If we then gave them 100 or so points for coming 4th in the competition (that no other UK clans were attending), they'd be unrealistically ahead of everyone else. zeNi designed the system so hopefully he can explain it a little better than I can. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	enuex 18:55 20/7/2003	agreed with raizbert - 5th @ ESWC should be worth much more than 1st @ a UKT LAN, rather than just 1 pt, the competition and international recognition (and moneh) is much greater for ESWC... [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 19:02 20/7/2003	So it is infact just how well clans do at UK events not how good the clans are ? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	alpha Da boss Inspired Gaming 19:09 20/7/2003	It's designed to show how good UK clans are relative to each other. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 19:11 20/7/2003	But it doesnt. I thought we'd established that already ? :P [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	alpha Da boss Inspired Gaming 19:15 20/7/2003	So in what way are you saying the current rankings don't reflect the UK scene? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 19:24 20/7/2003	The rankings may be roughly correct but as I said why bother having a points system if your just choosing the points based on the UK competition which in no way reflects the actual difficulty of the event. But as your just refusing to accept anything I'm saying I don't see much point in arguing this without end :P Im just saying what I see as	

		faults in the system whether you accept the criticism is entirely up to you... [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	alpha Da boss Inspired Gaming 19:33 20/7/2003	I do see what you're saying, we had this discussion in the staff channel earlier. The points given <i>do</i> reflect the difficulty of the events, but deliberately less so in the international competitions as there's usually only one UK clan there. We include the international competitions because clans often go to these in place of UK events, and because their placing at these events is an achievement in itself and puts them head and shoulders above the other UK clans. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Aunt 19:36 20/7/2003	hmm just take it as an overall points qual + event [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Otis Rush! 19:53 20/7/2003	you only have to look at that ranking table to realise its total bs ;/ nm good that someone made an effort but going to i16 to get points?!? pls [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	-m0o.Rob- 19:55 20/7/2003	KD's point is completely right why dont you just admit to someones constructive criticism for once [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	cobbeh fluid element 19:57 20/7/2003	maybe there should just be a 'uk' system and 'international' system, kinda like home/away [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	cobbeh fluid element 19:59 20/7/2003	basically events that only include uk teams should be in the uk ranking and the internation is things like CB Open Cup, CPL, etc [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Smittins 20:02 20/7/2003	lol points from an i-series tourney, quality [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Choke MaT Choke 20:03 20/7/2003	Atemi: I dunno bout including the CSGN championship thing cos would u include both the championship thing and the division placements or just one and not the other? The championship cup decides	

		who wins CSGN Season 1, not the invite premiership, so that should be used in the rankings really. Season 1 isn't over yet..... [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Otis Rush! 20:07 20/7/2003	oh and KD is completely correct. The points idea seems to be just picked out randomly and the positions and points bare very little representation on actual reality. So what if 4K end up miles ahead of every other clan because of large international events. That maybe because they are miles ahead of every other clan... Just to show you how much nonsense the rankings are there is a merc team in the top20 :) [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	alpha Da boss Inspired Gaming 20:07 20/7/2003	Rob I've answered his points, and explained why I think the system works how it does. Most people seem to agree that the end result is reasonable. What is your point exactly? Smittens: i16 wasn't included. Otis: if the rankings are so wildly different to actual reality what do you think the current order/points should be? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Psy^ xtreme9.uk 20:08 20/7/2003	I-eS actually got 25 + 18 for their ranking. They couldn't have got to the WCG without winning the qualifiers, therefore they couldnt have got 18 without winning the initialy 25. So it comes down to 4K actually gettin 43 [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Psy^ xtreme9.uk 20:11 20/7/2003	and lol at a clan spending £300 to get their name on a ranking website. :([Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Turk1sh 20:15 20/7/2003	coolies but some of those teams aint alive anymore [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	Turk1sh 20:16 20/7/2003	my bad they do lol :D [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	deebo Mel Gibson UKTerrorist 20:55 20/7/2003	why not just give 4K a bijillion points and be done with it. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]	
	KD 21:21 20/7/2003	Its not about 4k..its just easiest way to demonstrate the point because of their position.	

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Necroboy
fightclubclan
21:55 20/7/2003

What about clanbase opencup 2003?

you adding it when its finished?
Cus all the uk clans out already
yeah?

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Stono
22:06 20/7/2003

I did ask that the winners of the
CSGN Cup be given the points...
ill talk to UKTerrorist

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Stono
22:18 20/7/2003

Cup will be added as a
seperate competition
(I think).

unless that is true, in which case
it will be ok :)

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

AirHorN
#Game2XS
23:19 20/7/2003

the CUP means nowt though just
a chance for lower div to play
others, most prem teams can
beat the other teams imo

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Atemi
ForsakeN
00:35 21/7/2003

ye will be a bit stupid should say
a team who came 2nd in csgn
prem get no points and then a
team comes say 3rd in the
championship cup thing cos 2nd
in the prem is much more an
achievement than the team who
say comes 3rd in the cup thing
that beat aload of mediocre
clans in divison 4 or wotever.

oh and ripper it aint just winners
of i-events who get points cos
we got 6 i think for 3rd at i15,
dunno if thats a mistake tho or
not. pls dont mention it to zeni,
dont wanna think we wasted our
60 quid

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Myers
Saviour of UKT
UKTerrorist
00:51 21/7/2003

I agree with KD here, but cba to
complain about it anymore :)

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Choke|MaT
Choke
03:12 21/7/2003

yeah airhorn, but most of the
div1 teams were better than half
of the ones in the "invite"
premiership imo.

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

Ripper
Rush!
10:34 21/7/2003

only teams any of div1 were
better than were so19 and
kensai, rest were all better and
deserved to be in the premier.

[Comment: Report | IP: Logged]

AirHorN
#Game2XS
11:24 21/7/2003

wot atemi said in first para ^
but yeah i agree a few teams
from div1 shoulda been in the
invite but u cant give out
points for a crappy end of

	season mess about (known as a cup). Ic > the rest imo. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
raizbert 12:56 21/7/2003	i-series is about one thing. watered down krony for £1.50 :D [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Scara North & South 13:03 21/7/2003	North & South rock :D [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
nerf Clan ONE 13:11 21/7/2003	I fully agree with Alpha. The system is not 100% perfect (what system could be?), but the end result appears to be a decent estimation. You can pick holes in it here and there, but I think it does the job well enough. The rankings don't actually mean much/anything, it's just nice to have. [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Ripper Rush! 13:12 21/7/2003	thats where you're wrong nerph, if people are willing to spend 60 quid to get into the rankings then they must be worth it!? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
nerf Clan ONE 13:52 21/7/2003	touché Ripphar [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
N3M- endeffect 22:42 21/7/2003	Sorry but where is the logic in saying i16 doesnt count because majority were mix teams then add kirby esports? :o i was under impression that they were a mix team for ukt :s Barcodes 5th yey :) [Comment: Report IP: Logged]
Stono 17:10 22/7/2003	AirHorN its not an end of season cup its how CSGN works The idea is if a clan was missplaced it gives them the chance to win overall. Its gives everyone, regarless of division a chance to win CSGN Surly if a clan from division 1 beats the premier winners they deserve to win CSGN? [Comment: Report IP: Logged]

[Add comment](#)

[\[RSS \]](#)

© Inspired Gaming, 2000 - 2008.